
KANSAS CITY RESIDENTIAL REVIEW / SELECTED EXCERPT

Claim-Readiness Review

Nearby weather supplies context. The property supplies the physical evidence. Keep both in one traceable record.

The event chronology, made visible.

The source ledger records 19 qualifying dates from October 2021 through October 2026. Counts below are a visual transcription of that ledger, not events proved to have damaged the roof.



Qualifying dates recorded per year. Partial first and last calendar years follow the report window.

Retain the source trail

Dates, distances, hazard units and original event IDs let another reviewer examine the chronology. Estimated wind remains labeled as estimated.

Test the explanation

Correlate owner history and dated roof observations. Consider age, installation, mechanical conditions and prior repairs alongside weather.

The ledger does not establish damage, insurance coverage or a loss date at the property. This example is not a completed review of your roof.

Request my Claim-Readiness Review

ACTUAL REPORT EXCERPT / ORIGINAL PDF P. 4

The qualifying event ledger

Original report excerpt, with customer identifiers and private access links omitted.

OCTOBER 2021-OCTOBER 2026 | STORM CHRONOLOGY

THE QUALIFYING EVENT LEDGER

Maximum qualifying magnitude per local date and hazard within 5 miles. All wind values below are estimated, not roof measurements.

Local date	Nearby report maximum	Distance	Source ID
2021-12-15	1.00 in hail / Est. 70 mph wind	2.29 / 1.04 mi	998545 / 998557
2023-04-10	1.00 in hail	2.75 mi	1095111
2023-07-14	Est. 60 mph wind	1.76 mi	1129495
2023-07-30	Est. 81 mph wind	2.93 mi	1131110
2023-08-13	Est. 60 mph wind	0.84 mi	1138439
2024-05-06	Est. 60 mph wind	3.35 mi	1188235
2024-05-08	1.50 in hail	4.36 mi	1166247
2024-07-31	Est. 70 mph wind	3.22 mi	1189078
2024-08-14	Est. 60 mph wind	3.79 mi	1207388
2024-10-24	Est. 60 mph wind	2.22 mi	1221548
2025-03-14	Est. 60 mph wind	0.98 mi	1246461
2025-05-19	1.50 in hail / Est. 64 mph wind	3.50 / 2.24 mi	1246220 / 1246296
2025-06-03	Est. 60 mph wind	0.86 mi	1274200
2025-06-26	Est. 60 mph wind	1.21 mi	1272235
2025-07-08	Est. 60 mph wind	0.80 mi	1262532
2025-07-16	1.00 in hail / Est. 58 mph wind	1.21 / 4.18 mi	1288167 / 1294179
2025-09-16	1.00 in hail	2.27 mi	1283630
2026-05-18	Est. 70 mph wind	4.40 mi	1343938
2026-08-19	1.75 in hail	4.77 mi	LSR 202608190641

NCEI wind magnitudes are knots (kt); mph = kt x 1.15078. The 50 kt threshold displays about 58 mph. Same-date duplicates do not add points. August 2026 hail is a preliminary NWS Local Storm Report (LSR).

Read the units and limits


The source reports one maximum qualifying magnitude per local date and hazard within five miles. Regional observations do not replace a property inspection.

Source: Crown Kansas City residential claim-readiness review, original PDF p. 4.

ROOF + SOLAR / GENUINE REPORT CONTROLS EXCERPT

Existing Solar Diagnostic

An example of Crown's roof-and-solar review record: equipment history, roof interfaces and documented handling controls.



Actual Crown Kansas City roof + solar inspection photograph. It provides site context, not a system-output measurement.

Before. During. After.

Before

Identify equipment, available monitoring history and the accessible roof interfaces.

During

Record the work actually performed and the condition of affected components.

After

Document applicable checks, recommissioning and comparison with the baseline.

This is a selected workflow example, not a completed electrical or performance diagnostic. It supplies no measured production, electrical-safety finding or warranty determination for the pictured system.

Request my Existing Solar Diagnostic

ACTUAL REPORT EXCERPT / SOLAR CONTROLS

The controls, in the record.

The original protocol explains documentation before removal, during roofing and after reinstallation. Testing must be scoped to the actual system.



CROWN ROOFING & SOLAR

DETACH + RESET CONTROLS

Controlled Decommission / Recommission Protocol

BEFORE REMOVAL

- Obtain portal access and equipment schedule
- Record system status, alerts and recent production
- Photograph every module and serial/position
- Document roof attachment map and electrical labels
- Establish shutdown and chain-of-custody plan

DURING ROOFING

- Qualified shutdown and electrical isolation
- Label modules, rails, wiring and hardware
- Inspect connectors, backsheets, glass and frames
- Store securely; segregate damaged components
- Install roofing and flashed attachments to written requirements

AFTER REINSTALLATION

- Torque/connection and grounding verification
- Commission inverter/communications
- Confirm module-level visibility where supported
- Compare production after weather normalization
- Document exceptions and follow manufacturer claim process

PERFORMANCE STANDARD

The correct question is whether the recommissioned system materially departs from its documented baseline after accounting for weather, irradiance, season, downtime and known equipment conditions. Warranty eligibility is determined by the manufacturer's written terms and diagnostic process.

Source: Crown roof + solar report, original PDF p. 7 / printed p. 11. Controlled Decommission / Recommission Protocol excerpt.

CROWN / ROOFING & SOLAR / EST. 2020

COMMERCIAL PROPERTY / CLINTON, MISSOURI

Current Condition Report

A real Crown commercial example: observed metal-panel conditions and the investigation those observations require.



Source: Crown commercial condition report, original PDF p. 3. Photographic exhibit only; source IDs IMG_4530 and IMG_4531 retained.

What the exhibit preserves

An annotated detail beside a wider view. The original image IDs keep the observation connected to the source record.

What it does not establish

A photograph alone does not establish cause, loss date or structural capacity. Engineering questions require the appropriate qualified review.

This two-page public example is a selected exhibit, not the complete customer report and not findings for your property.

Request my Current Condition Report

ACTUAL REPORT EXCERPT / METHODOLOGY

The limits belong in the record.

Read the original methodology excerpt below. The identifying page header is omitted from this public copy.

DOCUMENTATION INTEGRITY AND INVESTIGATIVE LIMITS

This page defines how imagery and preliminary observations in this report should be interpreted.

AUTHENTIC PHOTOGRAPHIC RECORD

The property photographs are owner-, contractor-, or inspector-supplied records of the actual site. No photographed building feature, damage condition, or object was created or replaced with generative AI. Where exposure or brightness was increased, the adjustment was applied to improve visibility in low-light imagery; it does not independently establish cause, date of loss, severity, or material displacement. Gold circles, arrows, labels, and boxes are documentary overlays and are not part of the original scene.

ORIGINALS, METADATA, AND TRACEABILITY

Embedded capture dates and times are reported only where available in the supplied file. A missing timestamp does not prove that metadata never existed, and a displayed timestamp should be checked against the original device file when timing is material. The complete CompanyCam timeline is linked in Appendix A. Original files should be preserved in native format, with filenames and available metadata intact, before compression, editing, forwarding, testing, repair, or removal of components.

PRELIMINARY FORENSIC METHODOLOGY

The investigation framework separates observation from interpretation. Visible conditions are documented first; plausible mechanisms are then evaluated against location, orientation, material response, weather history, collateral indicators, and timing. Regional radar, hail maps, point reports, nearby station data, news, and social-media records are correlation aids. They do not, by themselves, prove that a specific hailstone or wind speed occurred at the roof surface or caused a particular condition.

CONFIRMATORY WORK RECOMMENDED

Conclusions should be refined through safe daylight inspection, representative measurements, manufacturer-compatible testing, and destructive or laboratory examination only when authorized and necessary. Structural movement, temporary stabilization, window deflection, fastener uplift, and disputed causation should be evaluated by appropriately licensed or qualified professionals. Thermal imagery is qualitative unless the original radiometric file, calibration information, environmental conditions, and competent interpretation are available.

SCOPE AND PROFESSIONAL LIMITATIONS

This is preliminary condition documentation and a claims-readiness record. It is not a structural-engineering opinion, laboratory report, policy-coverage determination, legal opinion, or guarantee that a carrier will accept a proposed cause or scope. Crown Roofing & Solar is not acting as a public adjuster, attorney, engineer, insurer, or representative of an insurance carrier. Final decisions remain subject to the governing policy, applicable law, qualified expert evaluation, and the evidence developed through the complete investigation.

INTERPRETIVE RULE: A photograph documents what is visible; causation requires the full evidentiary record.

Source: Crown commercial condition report, original PDF p. 26. Original headings and methodology wording retained.

WICHITA FIELD EVIDENCE / CONTROLLED ACCESS TEST

Roof Repairability Analysis

Follow a real access test at a box vent. Nearby courses, seals and fastening access remain part of the construction question.




00:20 / Tool placement



01:40 / Adjacent course lifted



03:20 / Condition during the test



04:40 / Test-area overview

A repair has a ripple effect.

These stills preserve specific points in the original recording. They document access around the vent and the manipulation of neighboring courses; they do not, by themselves, establish the necessary extent of replacement on another roof.


Source: Crown Wichita inspection, July 31, 2026; original video V4, total duration 4:55.60. Still-frame timestamps retained.

Request my Roof Repairability Analysis

ACTUAL REPORT EXCERPT / ORIGINAL P. 7

The documented takeaway.

Read the original Controlled Access Test page with its source photo IDs and stated construction implications.



Source: Crown Current Roof Condition & Repairability Documentation, p. 7. Public excerpt; customer details omitted.